

December 2011 Newsletter

PACIFIC RIM BIBLE STUDIES POLICY

Thank you for entering our site. The notes and any other material that may be on it are supplied to you in grace; there is no charge for them. You may download the notes from the site to your own computer; you may make copies of them for your personal use, and you may distribute them to other people, as long as it is done without charge and the entire study is kept intact. They are not for sale at any price. And, as long as you do so with the web site address on them: www.pacificrimbible.com. This is also a notice of intent to copyright.

GRACE GIVING POLICY

There is no charge for the Pacific Rim Bible Studies on line studies, or for any other doctrinal material that Pastor Phillips teaches. Grace is not for sale at any price! Bible Doctrine, whether in its taped, printed, or on line form will be supplied to the Believer-Priest who is positive to the Word of God as long as the Lord supplies. Believers are free to give in grace toward the Tapes and Publications ministry of Pastor Phillips, as the Lord leads them, and may send their grace gifts to:

BEREAN BIBLE CHURCH
1725 EAST STREET
REDDING, CA 96001
USA

SALVATION

If you are not a believer in Jesus Christ, or aren't sure and would like to become one, then you need to believe that Jesus Christ was and is the Son of God, that he was God who became a man, that he lived a sinless and perfect life, keeping the Law of God perfect in every way, that he kept faith perfectly, and that he was crucified on that cruel cross for your sins, mine and the entire world- he died for our sins! His death on the cross paid the penalty fully, one time for all sins that we have ever committed and that we will ever commit. He died; he went down into the bowels of the earth, even into Hell, and was raised from the dead on the third day in a resurrected, eternal body. He ascended back into heaven, was seated at the right hand of God, and is now Lord over heaven and earth. He is coming again to judge the world, to raise the dead, where he will give an eternal, resurrected, glorified body to everyone that has believed on him as their Lord and Savior, and to establish his kingdom one earth.

You come become a Christian right now as you read this, by personally placing your faith and trust in Jesus Christ as your Lord and Savior; believing that he is God's only begotten Son, that he died on the cross for you and that he was raised from the dead and is now seated in heaven at the right hand of God the Father. *"Believe on the Lord Jesus, and you will be saved."* Acts 16:31a And when you do trust Christ, and Christ alone, as your Lord and Savior, then go to God the Father in heaven in prayer and tell him so. Tell him that you have believed on his Son, thank him for his Son, and thank him for forgiving your sins and saving you! *"That if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord", and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you will be saved. For it is with your heart that you believe and are justified, and it is with your mouth that you confess and are saved."* Romans 10:9,10 *"For, "Everyone who calls on the name of the Lord will be saved."* Romans 10:13 *"Therefore, being justified by faith (declared righteous), we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ."* Romans 5:1

December 2011

Dealing With Demons

K. Demons promote and accompany false doctrine.

“Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world.” 1 John 4:1 αγαπητοι μη παντι πνευματι πιστευετε αλλα δοκιμαζετε τα πνευματα ει εκ του θεου εστιν οτι πολλοι ψευδοπροφηται εξεληλυθασιν εις τον κοσμον.

Here we see that there is a connection between certain spirits and false prophets, *pseudopropheti*. Now when we speak of false prophets, we also must include false apostles, false teachers and false pastors. And what makes them false is that they are not teaching the accurate doctrines of God's Word! So the connection between the false prophets and certain spirits is teaching! They are not teaching the truth!

The Apostle Paul was very clear in this matter of how demons can and do mislead people by means of false doctrine. *“Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times [of the Church Age] some [believers in Christ] shall depart from the faith [the grand apostasy as prophesied by Paul], giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils [demons], [as taught by men and women];” 1 Timothy 4:1 το δε πνευμα ρητως λεγει οτι εν υστεροις καιροις αποστησονται τινες της πιστεως προσεχοντες πνευμασιν πλανοις και διδασκαλαις δαιμονιων.*

We see more clearly how this is done in 1 Kings 22:21-23, *“Finally, a spirit came forward, stood before the LORD and said, 'I will entice him.' 'By what means?' the LORD asked. 'I will go out and be a deceiving spirit in the mouths of all his prophets,' he said. 'You will succeed in enticing him,' said the LORD. 'Go and do it.' So now the LORD has put a deceiving spirit in the mouths of all these prophets of yours. The LORD has decreed disaster for you.”*

We see that it is demons, or deceiving spirits, as we have here, that actually inspire men to teach false doctrine. We also note that it is the Lord who grants them permission to do so? Which begs the question **why?** And the reason is, as in all cases, that when men reject the truth, when they do not want the truth, which tells us that they want what is untrue, then God will give them what they want!

Which is a point of doctrine that continues down throughout the ages and that is, **when men do not want to hear what is true, then they are opening themselves up for doctrines of demons!** Which serves as a warning to all of us, if we allow ourselves to get to the place that we no longer want sound doctrine, then we too can open ourselves up for doctrines of demons, as evidenced by the craziness found in churches throughout America today. And when doctrines of demons come into our lives, we will find ourselves coming under the influence of demons, as Christians, or under the influence of demons, or demon possession, for unbelievers! And in both situations, there will also be found the deterioration of the individual's soul, even to the place of mental illness and insanity!

Paul prophesied about people not wanting the truth only in a worldwide phenomenon in the last days. *“And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie:” 1 Thessalonians 2:11,12* They too will not want the truth, so God will send them in his judgment a strong delusion so they will believe the lies of demons!

One of the first things that Satan did at the beginning of the Church Age was to go out and create a counterfeit Christianity. He couldn't destroy the Church, although he certainly tried by ridiculing the whole thing, by trying to show that Christians were all a part of a lunatic fringe, and by the many horrible persecutions and murdering of Christians.

So he established a false version of Christianity so as to lead people away from Christ and the truth. He used his own **false apostles**; *“For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ.”* 2 Corinthians 11:13; **false prophets**, *“Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world.”* 1 John 4:1; **false teachers**, *“But there were false prophets also among the people, even as there shall be false teachers among you, who privily shall bring in damnable heresies, even denying the Lord that bought them, and bring upon themselves swift destruction.”* 2 Peter 2:1, and even **false believers**, *“And that because of false brethren unawares brought in, who came in privily to spy out our liberty which we have in Christ Jesus, that they might bring us into bondage.”* Galatians 2:4, to divert people away from the simplicity of the Gospel of faith alone in Christ alone, and away from the true spiritual life dynamic of faith in the Word of God.

Even the **end time false prophet** of the Book of Revelations will pose as a Christian! *“And I saw three unclean spirits like frogs come out of the mouth of the dragon, and out of the mouth of the beast, and out of the mouth of the false prophet.”* Revelation 16:13 This end time false prophet will pass himself off as Christian, but he will be speaking the doctrines of the devil! *“And I beheld another beast coming up out of the earth; and he had two horns like a lamb, and he spake as a dragon.”* Revelation 13:11 And who else can this be but the Pope!

There were those who were trying to bring the early Christians **back under the Law**, which Paul had to refute. *“This only would I learn of you, Received ye the Spirit by the works of the law, or by the hearing of faith?”* Galatians 3:2 They were trying to bring them back under **circumcision**, which Paul refuted as well. *“Behold, I Paul say unto you, that if ye be circumcised, Christ shall profit you nothing.”* Galatians 5:2 And then there were the **antichrists**. They were those who **denied that Jesus was the Messiah of Israel!** *“Who is a liar but he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son.”* 1 John 2:22 Who is a liar but he that denies that Jesus is the Messiah? He is antichrist and denies the Father and the Son. To **deny**, *arneomai*, was to take a public stand, to go out and publicly be known as one who denies a concept.

And then there were **those who mixed faith and works, or grace and works**, which Paul had to refute with the statement that the grace of God and works were mutually exclusive! Either God does all the saving in his grace, or he does not save at all! *“And if by grace, then is it no more of works: otherwise grace is no more grace. But if it be of works, then is it no more grace: otherwise work is no more work.”* Romans 11:6 *“For **by grace** are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: **Not of works**, lest any man should boast.”* Ephesians 2:8,9

And then there have been so many false doctrines that have come down throughout the Church Age, which the true men of God have had to refute. One is by trying to put another man into the position of **mediator**, the position that Christ alone holds! Mithras was one that was put as a mediator for a few centuries. Many in the occult have their favorite demons they call mediators. And a big one today is promoted by the Roman Catholic Church that Mary is the mediatrix between God and man! But the Scriptures are very clear **that Christ is the only Mediator between God and man!** *“For there is one God, and one mediator between God and men, the man Christ Jesus;”* 1 Timothy 2:5

L. Putting thoughts in our heads.

“*And Satan stood up against Israel, and **provoked** David to number Israel.*” 1 Chronicles 21:1

וַיַּעַמְד שָׂטָן, עַל־יִשְׂרָאֵל; וַיִּסָּת, אֶת-דָּוִד, לְמִנּוֹת, אֶת־יִשְׂרָאֵל

To **provoke**, or in other translations **incite**, is the hiphil future of *suth*. Now *suth* in the hiphil has many concepts involved in it that come into play in a course of action. There is the idea of a transition from one condition to its opposite, to be converted, or changed, from one course of action, or a behavior pattern, into the opposite one, to move or mislead someone into doing something against their will, or against their original intentions, or into unintended behavior. Therefore, it has the ideas of to seduce, to mislead, to deceive and to delude. Satan used it against Eve in the garden and it has the idea of verbal, or nonverbal seduction, and is not restricted to ideas of sexual seduction. Another idea found in it is that it does not employ force or pressure, but rather persuasion.

The intention of *suth*, seduction, provoking, inciting, etc., is always to bring a person down a path that is neither intended, nor wanted, nor advantageous to that person; a path that is ill-advised and later on proves to be such. Such deviation in judgment and action, because one has acted upon the persuasion, always runs contrary to the divine will for the individual.

And it always begins with a thought! One acts in life because there is, first, a thought that lies behind the actions! Ahab violated the property rights of an Israelite, but **the thought to do so was put in his mind first by his wife Jezebel!** “*But there was none like unto Ahab, which did sell himself to work wickedness in the sight of the LORD, whom Jezebel his wife **stirred up.***” 1 Kings 21:25

Now as to whether Satan used somebody close to David, or put the thought into his mind, (the passage is clear that it was Satan who both stood up against Israel and provoked David to number Israel), the result was still the same David had a thought come into his mind to take a census in Israel of all the men who served in the military. “*Satan rose up against Israel and incited David to take a census of Israel.*” 1 Chronicles 21:1

The point we want to look at is that Satan put a thought in David's mind, which he later on acted on it! Just like Satan put a thought in Eve's mind in the garden and Peter's mind when he rebuked the Lord. Now when we talk about thoughts coming into the mind, there are two concepts. One, is where a thought comes into the stream of consciousness and we quickly dismiss it sending it on its way. And two, then there are thoughts that we take into our minds that become a part of us and these thoughts can be with us for years!

When we talk about the false prophets and teachers and their false teaching, what are we talking about? They teach doctrines that are false and their words go out. It is when people accept their words, not just hear the words, but accept their words, then their words become thoughts in their minds. You say, well Satan or his demons don't speak directly to people; he uses his false prophets and teachers! How true! But where do they get their ideas from directly to begin with?

The point we want to remember is that thoughts and feelings do come into our minds and so often we have no clue where they come from. The important thing we have to remember is that we must fill our minds with thoughts that come from the Word of God and if we have any other kind, then we are to dismiss them immediately.

M. Defining angels.

The word for **angels** in the Greek is *angelos* and in the Hebrew it's *malak*. The word angels is nothing more than a transliteration of the word *angelos*, **but it doesn't define it!** People have thrown their ideas into what the word angel means over the years and a lot of that is based upon personal subjectivity and ideas passed down in one's particular religious group. Whether in the Greek or the Hebrew the word simply means a **messenger**, or one who has been sent.

The word *angelos*, or messenger, doesn't define what they are made up of, their essence; it doesn't tell us if they belong to an organization or a group; it doesn't tell us where they come from; it doesn't tell us what they look like; it doesn't tell us their names, if they have one; it doesn't tell us if they are good or bad; it doesn't tell us if someone sent them or not; it doesn't tell us what their mission is, if they have one; it doesn't tell us if they came from some other part of this vast universe, or from outside the universe; or from another dimension; the word does not connote superiority, or inferiority; it does not reveal the rank or position of the being; it does not define the age of the being; it doesn't tell us if they are truthful beings, or deceptive beings; if they love us, or hate us.

All we know from our perspective here on earth that this word tells us is: that, **one**, this is the word used to designate all celestial beings, both fallen and unfallen; **two**, this being has come from some other place outside the earth from some place in the vast universe, or heaven, or another dimension ; **three**, they have come here for a purpose, or mission, which they can make known to us, or keep from us, and **four**, they have been sent here by one who is higher than them to carry out the will of the one who sent them. Any other ideas associated with the word messenger has to be supplied by context or other passages.

The word **demon** we have discussed many times and there are several theories connected with it. Some say that they are the disembodied spirits of the nephilim who were destroyed in the flood. Others say that when the fallen angels came down to earth, they became known as demons. The Greeks regarded them as deities, whom they worshipped and adored. And also that they were a race of superior beings who came from different places in the universe. It's significant, though, that the Holy Spirit chose the Greek word *daimones*, which had its own definition as noted, to denote these beings.

There are three "names" given to the angel who rebelled against God: the devil, Satan, and Lucifer. But are they his actual names? The English word **devil** is used around thirty-three times in the NT, thirty-two of them refer to this rebel angel. The plural of the word is used many time in the NT, but none of them refer to the rebel angel; they refer to demons. Actually the Greek has the word *daimon*, *daimones*, *daimonion*, not *diabolos*, but was translated into the KJV as devils.

His proper name is not the Devil; it's just that he is **called** that by people. "And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, **called** the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him." Revelation 12:9

One important thing we want to note is that in every instance the word **devil** is used, or *diabolos* in the Greek, **it's always with the definite article!** The definite article is used to bring our attention to this one item or person. **The** car, **the** house, lets us know that we are talking about this one specific car or house in distinction from all the others out there. **The devil** lets us know that we are speaking about this one being in distinction from all the others, and it also is giving us some specific information about this being, as defined in the meaning of the word *diabolos*.

So what does the word *diabolos* mean? It does mean to accuse, but the idea of accusing will not fit every context it finds itself in, especially if we take in its ideas found in the OT. Ideas associated with it are: the accuser, to accuse falsely, the enemy, the adversary. **But there is one idea that stands above all, from which all the other ideas stem, and that is the One who separates! And more specifically, the One who works to separate God from men and men from God!** So properly, we should be calling him **the Accuser, the Enemy, the Separator** instead of calling him the devil!

Now the word Satan has also been used as the proper name for the rebel angel, and we have used it in the English and the Greek, but the word actually comes from the Hebrew *satan*. Now the word *satan* is only used one time in the Hebrew without the definite article and all other times it is found with the definite article – the satan. Such as in Job 1:6, “*Now there was a day when the sons of God came to present themselves before the LORD, and (the) Satan came also among them.*” The NT, though, routinely uses it without the definite article.

The word *satan* in the Hebrew actually meant an adversary, and even more properly and accurately an adversary at law. Which gets into the idea of one who tries to get someone to break the law, where he then can take you before court with the intent of seeing you found guilty, then to see you punished. What this tells us is that the rebel angel throughout the OT was called “the Adversary”, which is what they referred to him as, but over the years he took on the proper name of **Satan**. He had a proper name and it wasn't Satan, but over the years he was known for so long as the adversary of man, the satan, or the adversary, that people just started naming him “**Adversary**”, or **Satan**”.

Along this line we also consider the name, **Lucifer**, which is so often given to the angel who rebelled against God. “*How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! how art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations!*” Isaiah 14:12

אֵיךְ נִפְלֵתָ מִשָּׁמַיִם יְלִל בֶּן-שַׁחַרְנֹגְדַעַת לְאָרֶץ, חוֹלֵשׁ עַל-גּוֹיִם.

But the word **Lucifer** is not found in the original passage! In fact the word Lucifer is not a translation, or even a transliteration of the Hebrew, but comes from the Latin! The word Lucifer found in the KJV comes from the Latin language and comes from the two words, lux – light, and ferre – to bear, means the light bringer, or light bearer.

So what does it really say in the Hebrew? *Heyllet ben-Shachar*! Millions of believers, myself included, have used the name Lucifer for centuries for the name of the rebel angel, but the name Lucifer is nowhere to be found in the Bible, Hebrew or English! What we find instead for his name is *Heyllet ben Shachar*. Or, if we translated it out literally it would be, *Heyllet, son of Shachar*!

So is this saying that the full name of the rebel angel is *Heyllet ben Shachar*? Or is it saying that his name is *Heyllet* and that he is the *son of Shachar*? The first thing we want to note is that there is no definite article here. It is not **the Heyllet**, or **the Heyllet**, **the son of Shachar**. The importance of this is that definite articles are not used with proper names. My name is Michael, not **the Michael**. One does not use the definite article with proper names because the proper name is what identifies and brings our attention to the person. A definite article is not needed because of the personal name.

But what does it mean by the statement that he is the son of *Shachar*? Is he a literal son? God created *Lucifer/ Heyllet* in the beginning so that's not it. Or is it some type of expression that is figurative? To begin with we need to see that there are several ideas associated with the word **son**.

N. Fathers and sons.

The word **sons**, בְּנִים, *benim*, had several meanings:

A literal son or grandson.

An heir.

A descendent.

A son or daughter.

Other relationships.

Belonging to a tribe.

Belonging to a people.

Belonging to a place or land.

Belonging to a group.

Classifying men in different ethical or moral groups.

Membership in certain social or professional groups.

A person's age, or a period of time.

A student of a particular philosophy, or a particular teacher.

In the realm of creation or reproduction, the son was the reproduction of another man.

The nature and character of the person mentioned and even their source and origin.

A figurative expression identifying the word sons with the noun mentioned.

The word **father**, אָב, had several meanings.

The head of a home.

A teacher and how one addressed their teacher.

Progenitor, forefather, grandfather, ancestor.

Initiator of a trend, occupation, or group.

A title of respect or honor.

The philosopher who had a group of disciples, or followers.

A means of addressing a venerable old man.

The one who conducts the initiation ceremonies in the mystery religions.

Descent in a clan from the same father.

A name given to God.

The act of regenerating by the deity in the mystery religion made the deity that person's father.

Servants addressed their masters as father.

A title of honor for priests and prophets.

The man whose seed brought about your physical creation.

The God whose spiritual seed brought about your spiritual creation. [Hebrews 12:9](#)

Your teacher.

Your initiator into the mystery religions.

Because God created our human spirits, through faith in Christ, he became our Father.

God is bringing these sons he created into glory. [Hebrews 2:10,11](#)

God is the father of the heavenly lights. [James 1:17](#)

God is the father of the humanity of Jesus Christ through physical birth. [Hebrews 1:5](#)

We have a Father and the proof is we have a spirit.

He chose to give us birth as his sons. [James 1:18](#)

God gave the children he created to his Son, Jesus Christ. [Hebrews 2:13](#)

O. Lucifer's name - *Heyllet ben Shachar*.

So what we have seen so far is that his name is *Heyllet*, and that he is the *son of Shachar*, or that his full name is *Heyllet ben Shachar*, or, *Heyllet son of Shachar*. So what we have got to figure out is in what sense is he the son of *Shachar*, whatever that is? By the process of elimination we can eliminate a literal descendent because we know that God created him in the beginning.

It could be that he **belongs to a particular group**, a group of powerful *Els* (Angels), even though he started that group when he rebelled against *Yahweh*, who is the *Highest El*, and swayed one third of the angels in heaven to follow him in his rebellion. It could be a **student of a particular philosophy**, or the idea that one studies a particular philosophy. Here the philosophy, or the thing that one is devoted to studying, is *shachar*, whatever that is. And then there is the idea that ***Heyllet* is identified with *shachar*** in some way, whatever that is. Or could the whole thing be **borrowed from the pagan theologies** of the people who surrounded Israel?

The word *shachar* meant morning, daybreak, dawn, break of day, early in the morning, but it actually spoke of that brief moment in time at the end of the night right prior to the rising of the sun. So it referred to the **morning twilight**. In Ugaritic theology *Shachar* was known as the god of the morning twilight, (dawn), and his half-brother *Salim* was known as the god of the evening twilight, (dusk).

At times Israel borrowed certain metaphors and other word pictures from Canaanite mythology, without accepting the myth itself. One of these myths in the Canaanite literature was the Ugaritic poem about the births of *Shachar*, (Dawn), and *Salim*, (Dusk), called, "The Birth of the Beautiful and Gracious gods", according to which his parents were El and one of his wives.

Some of the symbols connected with *Shachar* were the eye, with its eyelid and eyelashes, symbolizing the opening of the eye, (the sun), sending its rays quickly across the land, and the winged disk with rays symbolizing that the dawn quickly dispels the darkness.

Also according to some the word *Shachar* is masculine, but its characteristics are feminine and refers to *Shachar* in some places as having a womb. When we follow this line, then what we have is a feminine deity from whom *Heyllet* comes. *Heyllet* would be the son of this goddess. By the way, the word for **dawn** in the Latin is **Aurora!** **So *Heyllet* would be the son of Aurora.**

This passage in Isaiah is a mocking dirge directed at the king of Babylon and has a Canaanite mythological background about an insolent minor Canaanite deity, whose attempt, in his insolence and arrogance, to ascend the heavens and remove the Most High El, (El-Elyon), from his rule on the mountain of the *Els*, or gods, and then place his throne on the heights and make himself the chief god in the pantheon. But instead of that he fails and is cast down to the netherworld.

This passage has reference to the king of Babylon and it also has reference to one who has fallen from heaven, Lucifer, as translated by the KJV. But the borrowing from Canaanite mythology seems to indicate a reference to the antichrist! If the passage just wanted to refer to the rebel angel, then the name *Heyllet* all by itself would be sufficient. But when "*Son of Shachar*" is added, then we have an introduction of something else along another line of thinking! Why does it say that *Heyllet* is the son of *Shachar*? There are other versions of this passage: "*Morning star, son of the dawn*", NIV; "*O star of the morning, son of the dawn*", NASB. *Shachar* was known as Eos in Greek literature and Aurora in the Latin, and all of them have her with a son, who is the antichrist. **So *Heyllet* would be the antichrist!**

We want to state once again that it is not “*Heyllet, the son of the dawn*” for there is **no definite article!** By the definite article being omitted we now have **a personal name.** It is “*Heyllet, son of Shachar*”! This Hebrew wording follows all the mother-goddess religions of the ancient world, only the words of the Hebrew language are used instead of the other languages. **But the one thing they all have in common is that an earth woman bore a son for a celestial being and he is the antichrist!**

Loan-words and loan-ideas from the various myths of the world can be used as vehicles to aid us in our understanding of unique doctrines pertaining to the people of God. Such as, the Persian word Eden for the garden of Eden, which means delights, or the words Paradise, Hades, Tartarus, etc.. We can accept these terms, words, etc., without accepting their theology.

Now if we have a reference to the antichrist in this passage, then we have something startling going on. In that, it would be referring to the antichrist going up into heaven to depose God from his throne! Or perhaps more specifically, it would be **the antichrist going up into heaven to depose Jesus Christ from his throne!** Whereupon this would launch the war in heaven where Michael and his angels would fight against Satan and his hybrid son and kick them out of heaven!

We know that God had his Son, the Lord Jesus Christ, who was both deity and humanity in one man. **We also know that Satan is going to have his son, who will be a celestial being/human being hybrid. He will be the result of DNA manipulation producing a human/alien hybrid son born of a human mother, but coming from the DNA of this rebel angel himself.**

Satan is going to take his son, who will be the antichrist, up into heaven and demand that he sits on the throne of the Most High, thus deposing the Father and the Son! An attack on God Almighty himself which will launch an all out war in heaven with Michael the arch-angel leading the attack. This war will immediately precede the Tribulation and Satan will **send** his son back down to the earth to take over the rule of the earth, thus beginning the Tribulation. Satan will follow after him 3 ½ years later after he loses the war and Michael the arch-angel kicks him out of heaven.

The word *Heyllet* is related to the Arabic *halla*, to appear on the horizon, and the Ugarit, *hial*, a new moon, which speaks of the crescent moon, and often of the crescent moon with a star. So with that rendering of the word we have a reference to not only the son of the mother-goddess and the antichrist, but also Islam. And all this over a thousand years before the rise of Islam. But what this also does is connect the religion of Islam with the antichrist.

But in getting to the truth of this, we need to be sure that in trying to understand the **meaning** of the name, *Heyllet*, we must not overlook the fact that this is a proper name and that it could be the personal name of the rebel angel! One of the things that gets our attention is the *el* ending. When we look at some of the angel's names, they all have an *el* ending. ***Gabriel*** – from *geber* and *el*, a mighty man, or a mighty being of *El*; ***Michael*** – from *miy* – who, *ka* – is like, *el* – God or *El*. Or even ***Micah*** – from *miy* – who, *ka* – is like, *ah* – *Yah*. *Who is like unto Yah!*

And when we come to *Heyllet* we have the same structure, *Heyll* and an *El* suffix, only they are put together into one word, *Heyllet*, (sometimes *Hellel*), which people translate into crescent moon, etc.. But what if his name is along the same lines as the other angels of God that have an *el* ending? If so, we have the words *halal* and *el*. And the word *halal* means to praise, and with the *el* ending it meant praise of *El*! *Heyllet* was the most beautiful of all the celestial beings and because of that it brought praise to the one who created him. But he turned his praise to himself, instead of his Creator.

“Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the number of the beast: for it is the number of a man; and his number is Six hundred threescore and six. For it is man's number. His number is 666”
Revelation 13:18 -

“For it is man's number. His number is 666” - γαρ ανθρωπου εστιν και ο αριθμος αυτου χξζ.

What's interesting is that the long form for writing out numbers is not used here, but the short form where a letter is used for the number. Chi - χ = 600, Xsee - ξ = 60, Zeta - ζ = 6. These three letters, χξζ, add up to the number 666. It might be awkward, but one could say *hex hekaton* - six hundred, *hexekonta* - sixty, and *hex* - six. Six hundred and sixty six. But instead we have ChXZ the letters for the numbers **600, 60, 6**.

What this seems to indicate is that these three letters, which are all consonants, will be the actual name of this man. **Chi** - χ, could be the hard k sound, or it could be the soft k sound, **ch**. **Hard ch**, as in chemistry; **soft ch**, as in cheese. **Xsi** - ξ, would be the x sound, as in x-ray, or in exciting. And **zeta** - ζ, the hard z sound, or the soft s sound. Put them together and we have a word that sounds like **checks**, or checkz. Which is also the name for one who comes from Czechoslovakia, a Czech. Or kicks. It all depends on what the vowels are, and whether you take a hard or soft pronunciation of the consonants. So **checks would be the name, or sound like the name of the beast**.

In its etymology check is related to Shakah, Shaik, Sheik and Shaykh in the Arabic, which meant to grow old, or an old man. Similar also to *Shachar* in the Hebrew, except for the r ending. "*O morning star, son of the dawn.*" Isaiah 14:12. Or in the Hebrew, "*O Heyllet, son of Shachar.*" Another translation has it as, "*O Lucifer, son of Aurora.*"

It could also be used of the banking instrument called the check! In studying out the definitions of the word check, and there are many, there are several that stood out: 1) Any person that controls. 2) A numbered tag used to identify the owner of an article. 3) A mark used for verification and identification. 4) A criss-cross mark like an X, or today's check mark.

So maybe it is a coincidence that the number 666's letters spell out, or pronounce the word checks, which means a person that controls, a numbered tag used to identify the article owned by someone, a mark used for verification and identification and a criss-cross mark, but you be the judge.

But remember, the number 666 is the number of the name of the beast, or the number of the title of the Beast! And we have seen that in the Latin 666 was the number of the title of the Pope, Vicarius Filii Dei. Could it be possible that the title of the Beast is actually Sheik because of the association with the crescent moon and Islam?